

**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
TAYLOR COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2010 TAXES**

**For The Period
January 1, 2011 Through April 15, 2011**



**CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
www.auditor.ky.gov**

**209 ST. CLAIR STREET
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817
TELEPHONE 502.564.5841
FACSIMILE 502.564.2912**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE
TAYLOR COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2010 TAXES

For The Period
January 1, 2011 Through April 15, 2011

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2010 Taxes for the Taylor County Sheriff for the period January 1, 2011 through April 15, 2011. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$857,901 for the districts for 2010 taxes, retaining commissions of \$35,607 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$819,114 to the districts for 2010 taxes. Refunds of \$592 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2010 TAXES.....	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	13



CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky

Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor

Lori H. Flanery, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet

Honorable Eddie Rogers, Taylor County Judge/Executive

Honorable Steve Allen Newton, Taylor County Sheriff

Members of the Taylor County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Taylor County Sheriff's Settlement - 2010 Taxes for the period January 1, 2011 through April 15, 2011. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Taylor County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement in accordance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Taylor County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period January 1, 2011 through April 15, 2011, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 22, 2011 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



To the People of Kentucky

Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor

Lori H. Flanery, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet

Honorable Eddie Rogers, Taylor County Judge/Executive

Honorable Steve Allen Newton, Taylor County Sheriff

Members of the Taylor County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Crit Luallen", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Crit Luallen
Auditor of Public Accounts

August 22, 2011

TAYLOR COUNTY
STEVE ALLEN NEWTON, SHERIFF
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2010 TAXES

For The Period January 1, 2011 Through April 15, 2011

<u>Charges</u>	<u>County Taxes</u>	<u>Special Taxing Districts</u>	<u>School Taxes</u>	<u>State Taxes</u>
Transfers From Outgoing Sheriff:				
Regular Tax Bills	\$ 101,474	\$ 227,931	\$ 318,561	\$ 174,395
Oil	7	16	39	11
Fire Protection	68			
Franchise Taxes	22,816	41,567	62,822	
Additional Billings	42	70		14
Penalties	5,393	12,116	17,199	9,177
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	<u>129,800</u>	<u>281,700</u>	<u>398,621</u>	<u>183,597</u>
<u>Credits</u>				
Exonerations	139	306	329	206
Discounts	17	28	10	3
Delinquents:				
Real Estate	14,864	32,882	54,139	21,568
Tangible Personal Property	1,881	3,141	2,391	3,840
Oil	7	16	39	11
Total Credits	<u>16,908</u>	<u>36,373</u>	<u>56,908</u>	<u>25,628</u>
Taxes Collected	112,892	245,327	341,713	157,969
Less: Commissions *	<u>4,798</u>	<u>10,426</u>	<u>13,669</u>	<u>6,714</u>
Taxes Due	108,094	234,901	328,044	151,255
Taxes Paid	107,662	234,417	326,313	150,722
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)	<u>432</u>	<u>968</u>	<u>1,731</u>	<u>641</u>
Refunds Due Sheriff				
as of Completion of Audit	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ (484)</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ (108)</u>

* and ** See next page.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

TAYLOR COUNTY
STEVE ALLEN NEWTON, SHERIFF
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2010 TAXES
For The Period January 1, 2011 Through April 15, 2011
(Continued)

* Commissions:

4.25% on	\$	516,188
4% on	\$	341,713

** Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$	(142)
Health District		(77)
Extension District		(89)
Hospital District		<u>(176)</u>
Refunds Due Sheriff		<u><u>(484)</u></u>

TAYLOR COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 15, 2011

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Taylor County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

TAYLOR COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
April 15, 2011
(Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Taylor County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of April 15, 2011, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2010. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2011. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was January 7, 2011 through April 15, 2011.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Taylor County Sheriff earned \$457 as interest income on 2010 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of August 22, 2011, the Sheriff owed \$9 in interest to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Taylor County Sheriff collected \$21,636 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Eddie Rogers Taylor County Judge/Executive
Honorable Steve Allen Newton, Taylor County Sheriff
Members of the Taylor County Fiscal Court

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On
Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Taylor County Sheriff's Settlement - 2010 Taxes for the period January 1, 2011 through April 15, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated August 22, 2011. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Taylor County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2010-01 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On
Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Taylor County Sheriff's Settlement - 2010 Taxes for the period January 1, 2011 through April 15, 2011, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Taylor County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Crit Luallen', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Crit Luallen
Auditor of Public Accounts

August 22, 2011

COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

TAYLOR COUNTY
STEVE ALLEN NEWTON, SHERIFF
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period January 1, 2011 Through April 15, 2011

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements or implementation of compensating controls, when needed because the number of staff is limited, is essential for providing protection to employees in the normal course of performing their duties and can also help prevent inaccurate financial reporting and/or misappropriation of assets.

A lack of segregation of duties existed over the receipt, disbursement, and reconciliation functions of the Sheriff's office because a limited number of employees were available to properly segregate these job duties. The Sheriff's bookkeeper collects payments from customers, prepares monthly tax reports, posts to the ledgers, and prepares daily deposits. Upon preparation of the monthly reports, the bookkeeper prepares checks for payment and signs the checks. Additionally, we noted the Sheriff's signature included on the monthly reports is stamped by the bookkeeper.

Auditor did note a few compensating controls are in place: dual signatures required on all disbursements, the Sheriff personally delivers all tax distribution checks in which he signs the vendor certification sheet, as well as the taxing district evidencing approval. These compensating controls are acceptable but do not offset the lack of proper segregation of duties.

To adequately protect employees in the normal course of performing their duties, and/or prevent inaccurate financial reporting or misappropriation of assets, we note the Sheriff should separate the duties involving collection of cash, deposit of cash, disbursement of cash, preparation of monthly reports and journals, and reconciliation of the bank statement to the ledgers. If, due to a limited number of staff, that is not feasible, strong oversight over those areas should occur and involve an employee not currently performing any of those functions. Additionally, the Sheriff could provide this oversight.

Sheriff's Response: No response.

